

McGREGOR W. SCOTT  
United States Attorney  
R. STEVEN LAPHAM  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, California 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2724

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CR. NO. S-05-128 LKK
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
	)	CONFERENCE AND FINDING
v.	)	EXCLUDABLE TIME
	)	
JAMES KALFSBEEK, et al.	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

This matter came on regularly for hearing on October 10, 2006, in the courtroom of the Honorable Lawrence K. Karlton. Scott Tedmon appeared on behalf of the defendant, James Kalfsbeek; Robert Peters appeared on behalf of defendant Sherwood T. Rodrigues; Dwight M. Samuel appeared on behalf of defendant Kurt Lakota and also appeared specially for attorney Joseph Shemaria on behalf of defendant Amy Polnoff; Stephanie Ames appeared on behalf of defendant David Polnoff; Candace Fry appeared on behalf of defendant Louise Renfro; James R. Greiner appeared on behalf of defendant Blanche Patricia Hassall; and Joseph J. Wiseman appeared on behalf of defendant Donna Rowe. Defendant Donna Rowe was personally present. The remaining defendants had previously filed waivers of appearance. Assistant U.S. Attorney R. Steven Lapham appeared for the United States.




1 Having been advised by all defense counsel that there is a  
2 considerable amount of discovery that remains to be reviewed and  
3 that defendants need additional time to complete their review and  
4 prepare their respective defenses, including pretrial motions, and  
5 having considered the nature of the charges confronting the  
6 defendant, and good cause appearing therefor,

7 The court reiterates its previous finding that this is an  
8 unusual and complex case within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.  
9 §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) in that it is unreasonable to expect adequate  
10 preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial within the time  
11 limits established by the Speedy Trial Act, and that the ends of  
12 justice therefore outweigh the best interest of the public in a  
13 speedy trial. Accordingly,

14 IT IS ORDERED that this matter is continued to January 9, 2007,  
15 at 9:30 a.m., for further Status Conference.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)  
17 (B)(ii) and (iv), the period from October 10, 2006, to and  
18 including January 9, 2007, is excluded from the time computations  
19 required by the Speedy Trial Act.

20 DATED: January 8, 2007

21  
22   
23 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
24 SENIOR JUDGE  
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
26  
27  
28